

# **SERBIATRIB '15**

14<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Tribology

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

Belgrade, Serbia, 13 – 15 May 2015

# TRIBOLOGICAL AND CORROSION PROPERTIES OF NICKEL COATING ON CARBON STEEL

J. VITE-TORRES<sup>1</sup>, M. VITE-TORRES<sup>2</sup>, R. AGUILAR-OSORIO<sup>2,\*</sup>, J.E. REYES-ASTIVIA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares (ININ), Dpto. de Estudios del Ambiente, La Marquesa Ocoyoacac, Mexico <sup>2</sup>SEPI, ESIME Zac. Instituto Politéecnico Nacional, Mexico \*Corresponding author: raguilaro@ipn.mx

**Abstract:** Wear and corrosion caused by friction is one of the main problems in mechanical elements in mechanical contact, as consequence there are economical losses. To enhancement the tribological properties of the metallic materials nickel coating has found wide application in aerospace, automobile, chemical industries, and other. In this research, nickel coating on carbon steel substrate was developed using nickel sulfamate bath and Watts nickel bath to obtain homogeneous electrodeposits, good adherence and non-porous material. The thickness of the nickel coatings obtained was 35.75 µm using the Watts nickel bath and 26.88 µm with the nickel sulfamate bath. In order to analyze the influence of the nickel coating was carried out an experimental investigation of the tribological sliding wear and corrosion properties of the coated specimens. The hardness of the coated surfaces was approximately 2.5 times higher than the surfaces without coating. The results suggest that the coated surfaces presented better tribological properties including high hardness, good wear resistance, and good corrosion resistance.

Keywords: tribological properties, surface coating, nickel electrodeposits, corrosion, wear, nickel coating.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Wear occurs during the operating between two contact surfaces. For wear resistance, coating [1-5] is a good alternative to protect the surfaces of the material when operating temperature are very high, and when the operating time is long.

Electroless nickel coating [5-8] based on the bath types have, progressively, been applied to a wider variety of applications in industry. Several researchers [4,6,8,9] have been investigated the application of different electroless nickel coatings. They have observed that the nickel coating improved the tribological properties and protect the material surfaces in mechanical contact. In addition, the coating process can provide properties to reduce the stresses, to improve the adhesion and the corrosion resistance. The review about this coating technique, suggest that the electroless coatings are mainly applied for wear and corrosion resistance applications. Due to the popularity of electroless nickel coatings, more advanced tribological application have been investigated.

In this research, nickel coating on 4140 carbon steel specimens were developed using nickel sulfamate bath and Watts nickel bath to obtain homogeneous electrodeposits, god adherence and non-porous material. In order to analyze the influence of the nickel coating was carried out an experimental investigation of the tribological sliding wear and chemical properties of the coated specimens.

### 2. COATING PROCEDURE

The coatings were prepared by using two methods Watts nickel bath and sulfamate nickel bath [10,11]. The main components for the Watts nickel bath are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Watts nickel bath	components
----------------------------	------------

Components	[g/I]
Nickel sulfate	330
Nickel chloride	45
Boric acid	37

Table 2 shows the main components of the sulfamate nickel bath.

Table 2. Sulfamate nickel bath components

Components	[g/l]
Nickel sulfamate	800
Nickel chloride	30
Boric acid	30

The components were mixed with 250 ml desionized water using an agitator (80 rpm) in order to dissolve completely all the components. The dissolved components were filtered. The bath temperature was 40 °C and the pH value was 5. The cathode current density was 3 A/dm<sup>2</sup>. After this process, the coating was ready to deposit on the surfaces of the specimens. The time of the deposition process was 60 minutes.

The material selected to fabricate the test specimens, was 4140 carbon steel. The geometry and the dimensions of the specimens are shown in Figure 1. The specimen illustrated in Figure 3a was used for the corrosion tests and Figure 3b show the specimen test pin used for the sliding wear tests.

Before the coating process the specimen surfaces were cleaned, dried and polished. Then the specimens were weighted.



Figure 1. Specimens for: (a) corrosion test and (b) sliding wear tests

#### 3. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

The characterisation of the hardness of the coated surfaces of the specimens was carried out according to the ASTM E0140-05 [12], using a micro durometer Buehler Lake Bluff, Illinois, USA. The applied loads for this test were 100, 200 and 300 gf and the testing time was 15 s.

The equipment used to evaluate the adhesion of the coating on the 4140 carbon steel specimen was an electometer 105, which was used araldite AV-100. The evaluation was carried out according to the standard ASTM 4541-02 [13].

Corrosion resistance of the coated specimens was evaluated using the anodic polarization method [14-16]. The equipment used for the corrosion tests was ACM Instruments Gill AC instrument 654, which was connected to electrochemical cell. The corrosive solution selected was phosforic acid (70 %  $H_3PO_4$ ), the pH value was 1.5 at ambient temperature.

Tribological test was carried out according to ASTM G99-04 standard [17]. The pin-on-disk

wear tester, used in this research, is illustrate in Figure 2, which consists of (1) revolution counter, (2) selector of speed, (3) disk holder and lever arm (4), loads (5), (6) load cell, (7) counter weight, (8) data base computer, (9) interface and (10) pin specimen. This equipment was designed by the tribological group of SEPI ESIME ZAC of the Nacional Institute Polytechnic of Mexico.



Figure 2. Pin-on-disk tester

This tester was used to investigate the wear process under sliding conditions. The test was performance on: (a) 4140 carbon steel pin without coating, (b) coated pin with Watts nickel bath, and (c) coated pin with nickel sulfamate bath.

This investigation was carried out with a normal force of 5 N, which was applied against the surface of the stainless steel 304 rotary disk. Circular wear scar was generated on the disk. Table 3 shows the wear test conditions in dry condition at ambient temperature between 22 to 24 °C.

Table 3. W	/ear test	conditions
------------	-----------	------------

Parameters	Value	
Velocity	0.95 m/s	
Speed	200 rpm	
Load	5 N	
Time	600 s	

The friction coefficient was predicted by the ratio of the frictional force to the load on the pin specimens. Wear was quantified by measuring the wear groove and measuring the amount of material removed.

#### 4. RESULTS

#### 4.1 Specimens obtained by coating nickel bath types on 4140 carbon steel

#### Watts nickel bath

The specimens coated by nickel bath types are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Specimens coated for: (a) corrosion test and (b) tribology test

Tables 4 and 5 show the weights of the specimens before and after the coating process by using the Watts nickel bath.

**Table 4.** Weights of the specimen pin uncoated andcoated with Watts nickel bath for tribology tests

Specimen	1 2 3 4 5					
	Weights [g]					
Uncoated	9.02	8.88	8.94	8.96	8.74	
Coated	9.23	9.07	9.14	9.17	8.94	

**Table 5.** Weights of the specimen pin uncoated andcoated with Watts nickel bath for corrosion tests

Specimen	1 2 3 4 5					
	Weights [g]					
Uncoated	5.50	5.60	5.50	5.64	5.35	
Coated	5.75	5.85	5.73	5.87	5.60	

#### Nickel sulfamate bath

The weights of the specimens before and after the coating process by using the nickel sulfamate bath, are illustrate in Tables 6 and 7.

**Table 6.** Weights of the specimen pin uncoated and coated with nickel sulfamate bath for tribology test

Specimen	1 2 3 4 5					
	Weights [g]					
Uncoated	9.11	8.94	8.95	8.80	8.93	
Coated	9.31	9.14	9.15	9.01	9.14	

Specimen	1 2 3 4 5					
	Weights [g]					
Uncoated	5.53	5.66	5.35	6.58	5.50	
Coated	5.78	5.90	5.59	6.79	5.75	

**Table 7.** Weights of the specimen uncoated andcoated with nickel sulfamate bath for corrosion test

From the results it can be observed that the coated specimens, with Watts nickel bath and nickel sulfamate bath, for tribology tests haven been increased approximately 0.2 g and the specimens for corrosion tests have been increased 0.24 g.

#### 4.2 Coating thickness

The thickness of the coating was measured according to ASTM-B689-97 Standard, which were between 35.25 to 35.75  $\mu$ m for the Watts nickel bath and 25.15 to 26.88  $\mu$ m for the nickel sulfamate bath.

#### 4.3 Hardness tests

Table 8 shows the comparison of the hardness obtained from the Vickers technique. For this comparison 4140 carbon steel pin specimen uncoated, 4140 carbon steel pin specimen coated with Watts nickel bath, and 4140 carbon steel pin specimen coated with nickel sulfamate bath were used.

		Hardness HV	
	4140 carbon	Pin specimen	Pin specimen
Load	steel pin	coated	coated (Nickel
[gf]	specimen	(Watts nickel	sulfamate
	uncoated	bath)	bath)

254

171

187

**Table 8.** Results of the hardness

247

166

166

The comparison showed that pin specimen coated with nickel sulfamate bath had the highest hardness between 34 % to 48 % higher than the pin specimen coated with Watts nickel bath, and 33 % to 42 % higher than pin specimen uncoated.

#### 4.4 Adhesion

The results suggest that the coating bath type have been good adhesion to the 4140 carbon steel pin specimen up to 15 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> with the Watts nickel bath and 12 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> with nickel sulfamate bath. After those loads the coating start to be detached from the carbon steel pin specimen.

#### 4.5 Tribological tests

The sliding wear properties have been analysed for 4140 carbon steel pin specimens uncoated, 4140 carbon steel pin specimens coated with Watt nickel bath, and 4140 carbon steel pin specimens coated with nickel sulfamate bath, using a pin-on-disk tester at constant load of 5N, the test time was 600 s, the velocity was 0.95 m/s, and the speed was 200 rpm.

The tests were carried out at ambient temperature from 22 to 24 °C in dry condition. The material of the disk was stainless steel 304. Table 9 shows the results of sliding length (L), wear volume loss ( $V_w$ ), wear rate (Q), wear coefficient (K), friction force, ( $F_f$ ) and friction coefficient ( $\mu$ ). The results of the sliding tests are illustrated in Table 9.

Figures 4, 5 and 6 show the friction force as function of the time.

From figure 4 it can been seen that a large and irregular fluctuations of the friction force during the sliding test. In addition, it is observed that the friction coefficient of 0.1 is

Pin specimen	<i>L</i> [mm]	$V_{\rm w}$ [mm <sup>3</sup> ]	Q [mm <sup>2</sup> ]	<i>K</i> [mm <sup>3</sup> /Nm]	<i>F</i> <sub>f</sub> [N]	μ
Specimen uncoated	125.66	0.95	0.0076	$1.5 \times 10^{-0.3}$	4.79	0.1
Specimen coated (NSB)	125.66	0.20	0.0016	3.9x10 <sup>-0.4</sup>	4.22	0.84
Specimen coated (WNB)	125.66	0.30	0.0024	$4.4 \times 10^{-0.4}$	6.89	0.87

745

421

387

WNB: Watts nickel bath; NSB: Nickel sulfamate bath

100

200

300



**Figure 4.** Friction force of the 4140 carbon steel pin specimen uncoated as function of the time [18]



Figure 5. Friction force of the 4140 carbon steel pin specimen coated with sulfamate nickel bath as function of the time [18]



Figure 6. Friction force of the 4140 carbon steel pin specimen coated with Watts nickel bath as function of the time [18]

found under the values reported by the literature.

The results shown in Figures 5 and 6 suggest that the coating with Watts nickel bath protected for short time, approximately 20 seconds, the 4140 carbon steel pin specimen surfaces. While the coating nickel sulfamate bath presented more sliding wear resistance, which resist up to 250 seconds. Therefore, the force friction and friction coefficient were smaller than the coated pin specimen with the Watts nickel bath and the pin specimen uncoated, as it can see in Table 9.

# 4.6 Corrosion tests

The comparison of the results obtained from the corrosion test of the 4149 carbon steel, the coated carbon steel with Watts nickel bath and coated carbon nickel sulfamate bath are shown in Table 10. From the results it can be observed a high polarization with coating nickel. The coated 4140 carbon steel with nickel sulfamate bath presented the highest resistance to the polarization, as a consequence the corrosion velocity was the lowest.

Table 10. Tafel Polarizacion to determine the										
corrosion	velocity [	[18]								

Specimen	<i>Rp</i> [Ω/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	<i>Ba</i> [mv]	<i>Bc</i> [mv]	I <sub>Corr</sub> [μA/cm²]	V <sub>c</sub> [mm/y]
Uncoated	10.95	233.11	238.75	4.68	40.21
Coated (WNB)	62.93	109.73	95.32	0.35	0.05
Coated (NSB)	1756.90	94.351	155.50	0.014	0.008

*Rp*: polarization resistance;  $I_{corr}$ : Corrosion rate;  $V_c$ : corrosion velocity; *Ba*: anodic Tafel slope; *Bc*: cathodic Tafel slope

# 5. CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusion of this research are summarised below.

The thickness of the coating was between 35.25 to 35.75  $\mu m$  for the Watts nickel bath and 25.15 to 26.88  $\mu m$  for the nickel sulfamate bath.

The best results of the adhesion of the coating on the 4140 carbon steel pin specimen with the Watts nickel bath was up to  $15 \text{ kg/cm}^2$  and  $12 \text{ kg/cm}^2$  with nickel sulfamate bath. After those values the coating started to be detached from the carbon steel pin specimen.

The spin specimen coated with nickel sulfamate bath had the highest hardness between 34 % to 48 % higher than the pin specimen coated with Watts nickel bath, and 33 % to 42 % higher than pin specimen uncoated.

The results suggest that the coating nickel bath type on a 4140 carbon steel pin specimen

improved the hardness of the surfaces. Therefore, the wear and corrosion resistance is higher than the pin specimens uncoated.

From the results was observed that the wear resistance and the friction coefficient values increase when the hardness of the pin specimens is higher.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the National Institute of Nuclear Investigations and the National Institute Polytechnic of Mexico, for the financial support to carry out this work through the project SIP No. 20150075.

#### REFERENCES

- Z. Rymuza: Special tribological coatings, in: *Tribology of Miniature Systems*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 269-301, 1989.
- [2] E. Rabinowicz: *Friction and Wear of Materials*, Wiley, New York, 1965.
- [3] B. Bhushan: *Introduction to Tribology*, Wiley, New York, 2002.
- [4] P. Sahoo, S.K. Das: Tribology of electroless nickel coatings – A review, Materials & Design, Vol. 32, No. 4, pp. 1760-1775, 2011.
- [5] K. Holmberg, A. Matthews, H. Ronkainen: Coatings tribology – contact mechanisms and surface design, Tribology International, Vol. 31, No. 1-3, pp. 107-120, 1998.
- [6] P. Gadhari, P. Sahoo: Study of tribological properties of electroless Ni-P-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings, IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE), pp. 34-34, 2014.
- [7] D. Nava, C.E. Dávalos, A. Martínez-Hernández, F. Manríquez, Y. Meas, R. Ortega-Borges, J.J. Pérez-Bueno, G. Trejo: Effects of heat treatment on the tribological and corrosion properties of electrodeposited Ni-P alloys, International Journal of Electrochemical Science, Vol. 8, pp. 2670-2681, 2013.

- [8] Z. Gao, S. Zhao, Y. Wang, X. Wang, L. Wen: Corrosion behavior and wear resistance characteristics of electroless Ni-P-CNTs plating on carbon steel, International Journal of Electrochemical Science, Vol. 10, pp. 637-648, 2015.
- [9] J.M. Miguel, J.M. Guilemany, S. Vizcaino: Tribological study of NiCrBSi coating obtained by different processes, Tribology International, Vol. 36, No. 3, pp. 181-187, 2003.
- [10] ASTM D4138: Standard Practices for Measurement of Dry Film Thickness of Protective Coating Systems by Destructive, Cross-Sectioning Means, 1994.
- [11] B. Utech: A Guide to High-performance Powder Coating, Society of Manufacturing Engineers, Dearborn, 2002.
- [12] ASTM E140-05e1: Standard Hardness Conversion Tables for Metals Relationship Among Brinell Hardness, Vickers Hardness, Rockwell Hardness, Superficial Hardness, Knoop Hardness, and Scleroscope Hardness, 2005.
- [13] ASTM D4541-02: Standard Test Method for Pull-Off Strength of Coatings Using Portable Adhesion Testers, 2002.
- [14] ASTM G59-97: Standard Test Method for Conducting Potentiodynamic Polarization Resistance Measurements, 1997.
- [15] ASTM G5-94: Standard Reference Test Method for Making Potentiodynamic Anodic Polarization Measurements, 2014.
- [16] ASTM G102-89(2010): Standard Practice for Calculation of Corrosion Rates and Related Information from Electrochemical Measurements, 2010.
- [17] ASTM G99-95a(2000): Standard Test Method for Wear Testing with a Pin-on-Disk Apparatus, 2000.
- [18] E. Reyes-Astivia: *Estudio experimental de las propiedades mecánicas tribológicas del acero al carbono electrodepositado con niquel en una celda electrolítica*, MSc thesis, SEPI-ESIME Zacatenco, IPN, Mexico, 2014.